1	McCRACKEN, STEMERMAN, HOLSBERRY		
2	John J. Davis, Jr., SBN 65594 jjdavis@msh.le David L. Barber, SBN 294450 dbarber@msh.		
3	595 Market Street, Suite 800	iuw	
4	San Francisco, CA 94105		
	Telephone: 415-597-7200 Fax: 415-597-7201		
5			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
7	UNITED STATES DI	STDICT CO	ПЪТ
8			
9	NORTHERN DISTRIC		
10	SAN FRANCISC	O DIVISION	
11	UNITED ASSOCIATION OF JOURNEYMAN	Case No. 17	CV 3037 PS
	AND APPRENTICES OF THE PLUMBING	) case No. 17	-C V-3037 K3
12	AND PIPE FITTING INDUSTRY,		ION AND IDDODOGEDI
13	1		ION AND [ <del>PROPOSE</del> D] OR EXTENSION OF TIME TO
14	and FELIPE HERNANDEZ, as Local 355		SITION AND REPLY BRIEFS
15	Trustees; FRANCISCO CRUZ ORTIZ and ALEJANDRO TREJO,	\	IERN CALIFORNIA
16	Plaintiffs,	DISTRICT ( MOTION T	COUNCIL OF LABORERS'
17	V.	) MOTION I	O DISMISS
18		) )	
19	MANIGLIA LANDSCAPE, INC.; COHEN LANDSCAPE SERVICES, INC.; LANDSCAPE	Date:	March 15, 2108
	CONTRACTORS COUNCIL OF NORTHERN	)	
20	CALIFORNIA; BARRY L. COHEN; LINDA G. (ROSSMAN; OSCAR DE LA TORRE, DOYLE	Time:	1:30 p.m.
21	RADFORD, DAVID GORGAS, BRUCE RUST,	) Courtroom:	No. 3, 17 <sup>th</sup> Floor
22	FERNANDO ESTRADA, BYRON LONEY,	) Courtiooni.	110. 3, 17 11001
23	ROBERT CHRISP, BILL KOPONEN, LARRY NIBBI AND MANUEL DE SANTIAGO, AS	Judge:	Hon. Richard Seeborg
24	TRUSTEES OF THE LABORERS TRUST	)	
25	FUNDS FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; NORTHERN CALIFORNIA DISTRICT	, )	
26	COUNCIL OF LABORERS; AND DOES 1	)	
	THROUGH 50,	) )	
27	Defendants.	)	
28		/	

WHEREAS, the parties to this stipulation are Plaintiffs United Association of Journeymen and Apprentices of the Plumbing and Pipe Fitting Industry, Underground Utility/Landscape Local Union No. 355 ("Local 355" or "Plaintiffs") and Defendant Northern California District Council of Laborers ("NCDCL");

WHEREAS on January 26, 2018, Defendant NCDCL filed and served a Motion to Dismiss;

WHEREAS the Court has scheduled the hearing on the motion for March 15, 2018;

WHEREAS under Local Rule 7-3, Plaintiffs' opposition to the motion is presently due on February 9, 2018, and Defendant NCDCL's reply is due on February 16, 2018; and

WHEREAS counsel for Plaintiffs has requested and counsel for Defendant NCDCL has agreed to extend the time for filing both the opposition and the reply;

THEREFORE Plaintiffs and Defendant NCDCL hereby stipulate and agree, subject to Court approval, as follows:

## **STIPULATION**

- 1. Plaintiffs' opposition to the NCDCL Motion to Dismiss will be due on February 16, 2018.
- 2. Defendant NCDCL's reply, if any, will due on February 28, 2018.
- 3. The reason for the extension is that Plaintiffs require additional time to brief the issues.
- 4. The date and time for the hearing, as set by the Court, shall remain unchanged: 1:30 p.m. on March 15, 2018.
- 5. No other time modifications have been requested or granted with respect to this motion. A previous stipulated order changed the briefing schedule for two other motions to dismiss, filed by Defendants Rossman and Cohen, but did not affect the hearing schedule.
- 6. The requested modification would not affect the hearing date or any other deadlines in this case.

26 | //// 27 | ///

28 ||//

1	7. All affected parties agree to the stipulation as indicated by their signatures below.		
2			
3	Dated: February 6, 2018	McCRACKEN, STEMERMAN & HOLSBERRY	
4			
5		By: /s/ John J. Davis, Jr.	
6		John J. Davis, Jr., Attorney for Plaintiffs	
7			
8	Dated: February 6, 2018	WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD	
9		By: /s/ Conchita Lozano-Batista	
10		Conchita Lozano-Batista, Attorney for Defendant	
11			
12			
13		ORDER	
14	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
15			
16		2.1.181	
16 17	DATED: <u>2/6/18</u>	THE HONOR ARI E RICHARD SEERORG	
	DATED: <u>2/6/18</u>	THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG United States District Judge	
17	DATED: <u>2/6/18</u>		
17 18	DATED: <u>2/6/18</u>		
17 18 19	DATED: <u>2/6/18</u>		
17 18 19 20 21		United States District Judge	
17 18 19 20		United States District Judge  Attestation  (i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the filing	
17 18 19 20 21 22	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i	United States District Judge  Attestation  (i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the filing	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i	United States District Judge  Attestation  (i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the filing	
117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 226	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i	Attestation  (i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the filing and from the other signatories.	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i	Attestation  (i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the filing and from the other signatories.  By:/s/John J. Davis, Jr	
117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 226	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i	Attestation  (i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the filing and from the other signatories.  By:/s/John J. Davis, Jr	